

# Governance in Swedish Athletics

A case study



# Position of Sport

Unique role in society

Privileged

Deserve to be self-governed



- Overview
- Congress
- Council
- Clubs
- Integrity



# Swedish Athletics

900 Clubs

40000 athletes

800 18-19/juniors

3-400000 in runs

Federation - Euro 4 mil

Clubs/running organizers – Euro 40 mil +

40-60 professional coaches

5-6000 voluntary coaches/club leaders

60 athletes in EC/15 in Rio



# Congress

- 130 club leaders
- Decides its chairman - external
- Approves Council report
- Approves audit report - external
- Decides Strategy
- Elects council 1 + 8
- Decides remuneration



# Election Committee

- 1 + 4 elected by Congress
- Collects
- Evaluates
- Propose to Congress
- Vad mer?



# Council

President + 8

President + 4 elected every year

Min 3 each gender

Decides 'Working Procedures'

Decides 'GS instructions'



# Issues

## Congress & Council

- Mission-strategy-planning
- Attractive
- Competence





# Clubs/Local athletics

- Arena clubs
- Running clubs
- Financially weak
- 'Health society'
- Local government support



# Issues clubs

- Organizational leaders
- Financial resources
- Volunteering
- Running and 'health society'



# Integrity

- Independent Natl doping agency
- Independent External 'doping judge'
- 'Nat'l Cas'



# Issues integrity

- Doping – about survival
- Enforcement ethical behaviour
- Code of conduct



# Nordic countries

Independant clubs	5/5
Congress – annual/biannual	5/5
Attendees – club/district leaders	5/5
Decides strategy	5/5
Elect Council	5/5
Council selects GS	5/5



# Nordic countries

- Professional External audit 4/5
- Election/screening committee 3/5
- Genderbalance in Fed constitution 1/5 (2-1-2)



S port  
I ntegrity  
G lobal  
A lliance



# Top class organization

- Vision - Strategy – Plan
- Competence
- Strong structure
- Continious development

